



# World Scientific News

An International Scientific Journal

WSN 220 (2026) 14-23

EISSN 2392-2192

---

## Current Trends in the Management of Upper-Limb Trauma

**Ashutosh Sadashiva Rao<sup>1</sup>, Arjun Jagadish Bhoomraddi<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia, Melaka, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup> Ganga Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India.

Corresponding author: Ashutosh Sadashiva Rao

Faculty of Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia, Melaka, Malaysia.

Email: [ar9395@gmail.com](mailto:ar9395@gmail.com)

<https://doi.org/10.65770/TSKH9946>

### ABSTRACT

Trauma to the upper limb represents a major clinical challenge because successful treatment requires restoration not only of skeletal stability but also of movement, sensation, vascularity, soft-tissue coverage, and fine hand function. Upper-limb trauma encompasses injuries ranging from isolated fractures and dislocations to complex open fractures, tendon and nerve injuries, vascular disruption, degloving injuries, and mangled extremities. Recent advances have shifted management from conventional fracture fixation toward a multidisciplinary, function-oriented, and patient-specific approach. Modern trends include early assessment using high-resolution radiography and computed tomography, selective use of three-dimensional reconstruction and printing, minimally invasive and percutaneous fixation, locking plates and intramedullary devices, and increasing use of arthroplasty for selected complex proximal humeral fractures. In severe open injuries, the orthoplastic concept integrates orthopaedic stabilization with early vascular and soft-tissue reconstruction. Microsurgical replantation, free tissue transfer, nerve transfers, and targeted reconstructive procedures have expanded the possibilities of limb salvage. Contemporary rehabilitation emphasizes early protected mobilization, hand therapy, and individualized return-to-function protocols rather than prolonged immobilization.

(Received 3 August 2026; Accepted 16 September 2026; Date of Publication 12 October 2026)

Digital technologies, patient-specific implants, and emerging artificial intelligence applications may further improve precision and treatment personalization, although clinical evidence remains heterogeneous. This narrative review discusses current trends in the evaluation and management of upper-limb trauma, emphasizing the transition from fracture healing alone toward preservation and restoration of the entire functional upper extremity.

**Keywords:** Upper Limb Trauma, Fracture, Hand Injury, Orthoplastic Surgery, Microsurgery, Nerve Transfer, Three-Dimensional Printing, Rehabilitation, Limb Salvage.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Upper-limb trauma is among the most frequent reasons for presentation to emergency departments and orthopaedic services. The spectrum ranges from simple closed fractures to high-energy injuries involving bone, joints, tendons, nerves, vessels, and skin simultaneously. In a large epidemiological study of more than 87 million individuals in the United States, approximately 590,000 upper-extremity fractures were identified in 2009, corresponding to an annual incidence of 67.6 fractures per 10,000 persons. Distal radius and ulna fractures were the most frequent, followed by hand fractures, proximal humeral fractures, and clavicular fractures. Age substantially influenced the injury pattern, with hand fractures predominating in younger adults and distal radius fractures being particularly frequent at the extremes of age.[1]

The functional importance of the upper limb makes its management fundamentally different from treatment of many other skeletal injuries. The hand must provide strength, precision, sensation, and coordinated movement, while the shoulder and elbow must provide a stable and mobile platform for positioning the hand in space. Consequently, an anatomically satisfactory radiographic result does not necessarily translate into satisfactory functional recovery.

Historically, management emphasized reduction and immobilization followed by fracture union. Contemporary trauma care has progressively moved toward early definitive stabilization, preservation of soft tissue, restoration of neurovascular function, and accelerated rehabilitation. The emergence of locking plates, intramedullary fixation, arthroscopy-assisted procedures, microsurgery, nerve transfers, patient-specific implants, and three-dimensional planning has considerably expanded the therapeutic options available to clinicians.[2-5]

The concept of the upper limb as an integrated functional unit is particularly important in high-energy trauma. A patient with an apparently stable fracture may have an associated vascular, tendon, or nerve injury that determines the ultimate outcome. Similarly, aggressive surgical fixation without adequate consideration of soft-tissue viability can result in infection, wound breakdown, nonunion, and functional impairment. Current evidence therefore supports multidisciplinary treatment pathways, particularly for major and open extremity injuries.[6,7]

This narrative review discusses contemporary trends in the management of upper-limb trauma, from initial assessment and fracture fixation to complex reconstruction, microsurgery, technological innovation, and rehabilitation.

## **2. DISCUSSION**

### **2.1. Initial Assessment: from Fracture Diagnosis to Whole-Limb Evaluation**

The first major change in contemporary upper-limb trauma management is the recognition that diagnosis must extend beyond identification of the fracture. Initial evaluation should systematically document skin condition, swelling, compartment status, peripheral pulses, capillary refill, motor function, and sensory function. Tendon continuity and joint stability should also be assessed whenever clinically possible.

In high-energy trauma, vascular injury may coexist with apparently uncomplicated fractures. Repeated neurovascular examinations remain important because swelling, displacement, or delayed vascular compromise can alter the clinical picture. When vascular injury is suspected, Doppler ultrasonography, CT angiography, or formal angiography may be required depending on the clinical situation.

Plain radiographs remain the foundation of fracture assessment. However, CT has become increasingly important for complex periarticular fractures of the distal radius, elbow, shoulder girdle, and carpus. Three-dimensional reconstructions can improve understanding of fracture morphology and assist preoperative planning. The current trend is therefore toward multimodal imaging guided by the clinical question, rather than routine use of advanced imaging in every patient.

### **2.2. Open Fractures and the Emerging Orthoplastic Approach**

Open fractures represent one of the most challenging forms of upper-limb trauma because bone injury occurs in conjunction with contamination and soft-tissue disruption. Infection, nonunion, osteomyelitis, and tissue necrosis can substantially compromise functional recovery.[6]

Contemporary management emphasizes early antibiotics, appropriate wound assessment, meticulous debridement, restoration of skeletal stability, and timely soft-tissue coverage. The precise timing and extent of debridement should be individualized according to contamination, tissue viability, and patient physiology rather than relying solely on a rigid time-based rule.[6,7]

An important contemporary development is the orthoplastic approach, in which orthopaedic, plastic/reconstructive, and vascular surgeons participate in coordinated management. The objectives are to restore perfusion, achieve stable skeletal fixation, eradicate contaminated or nonviable tissue, and provide durable soft-tissue coverage. Recent literature describes this interdisciplinary model as an important component of complex extremity reconstruction.[7]

Negative-pressure wound therapy can be useful as an adjunct in selected wounds, particularly when definitive coverage cannot immediately be achieved. However, it should not be considered a replacement for definitive soft-tissue reconstruction.[8]

The modern objective is not simply to close the wound but to establish a biologically healthy environment that allows bone union, tendon excursion, and functional rehabilitation.

### **2.3. Contemporary Fracture Fixation**

The choice between non-operative and operative treatment is increasingly individualized according to fracture morphology, displacement, joint involvement, soft-tissue condition, patient age, functional demands, and comorbidities.

Distal radius fractures are among the most common upper-limb injuries. The AAOS/ASSH clinical practice guideline supports operative treatment in selected non-geriatric patients when post-reduction radial shortening exceeds 3 mm, dorsal tilt exceeds 10 degrees, or intra-articular displacement/step-off exceeds 2 mm. In older patients, however, operative treatment does not consistently produce superior long-term patient-reported outcomes compared with non-operative treatment.[9]

Volar locking plates have become widely used because they provide stable fixation and permit relatively early wrist mobilization. However, current evidence emphasizes that fixation should be selected according to fracture characteristics rather than assuming that one implant is universally superior.[9]

Management of proximal humerus fractures remains controversial, particularly in elderly patients with complex three- and four-part fractures. Options include non-operative treatment, locking-plate fixation, intramedullary nailing, hemiarthroplasty, and reverse total shoulder arthroplasty.

Reverse shoulder arthroplasty has become an increasingly important treatment for selected elderly patients with complex fractures, particularly when tuberosity reconstruction or rotator cuff function is compromised. Recent systematic reviews have reported favorable functional outcomes and lower revision rates with reverse shoulder arthroplasty compared with ORIF in selected complex fracture populations.[10]

Nevertheless, arthroplasty should not be regarded as a universal replacement for fixation. Patient age, bone quality, fracture configuration, rotator cuff status, pre-injury function, and surgeon experience remain critical determinants.

Complex elbow injuries, particularly fracture-dislocations such as the terrible triad, require careful restoration of elbow stability while avoiding excessive immobilization. Contemporary treatment emphasizes stable fixation of the radial head and coronoid when necessary, restoration of lateral collateral ligament stability, and early protected movement.[11] The objective is increasingly to achieve a stable elbow that can be mobilized early rather than simply obtaining anatomical reduction.

### **2.4. Minimally Invasive and Biologically Respectful Fixation**

Modern fracture surgery increasingly emphasizes preservation of vascularity and soft tissue. Minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis and intramedullary nailing may reduce surgical dissection in selected fractures.

For proximal humeral fractures, intramedullary nails have gained renewed attention because they can provide fixation through less extensive soft-tissue dissection. Contemporary evidence suggests that intramedullary nailing can achieve outcomes comparable to plate fixation in selected fracture patterns.[12]

The principle underlying these techniques is biological fixation: restore alignment and stability while minimizing unnecessary disruption of the fracture environment.

## **2.5. Management of Severe Hand Trauma and Mutilated Extremities**

The hand presents unique reconstructive challenges because small losses of tissue can result in major functional impairment. Mutilated hand injuries may involve simultaneous destruction of bone, tendons, nerves, vessels, and skin.

Contemporary limb-salvage strategies emphasize early senior specialist involvement, radical but tissue-preserving debridement, skeletal stabilization, and early soft-tissue coverage.[13] Reconstructive options include local and regional flaps, free tissue transfer, tendon reconstruction, nerve repair, toe-to-hand transfer, and free functioning muscle transfer.

The decision between salvage and amputation must be individualized. Modern limb salvage does not mean preservation of every anatomical component at any cost; rather, the goal is to achieve the best possible functional outcome while considering injury severity, ischemia, patient preferences, rehabilitation requirements, and expected reconstructive burden.

## **2.6. Advances In Microsurgery and Nerve Reconstruction**

Microsurgical techniques have transformed the management of upper-limb trauma. Replantation and revascularization remain important for selected traumatic amputations, particularly involving the thumb, multiple digits, or strategically important segments.

Modern microsurgery increasingly incorporates nerve transfers. Traditional peripheral nerve management has relied on direct repair or nerve grafting, while tendon transfers have been used for late reconstruction. Nerve transfers offer the advantage of shortening the distance between the donor nerve and denervated motor endplates, potentially allowing earlier reinnervation and preservation of more physiological muscle action.[14]

Recent reviews indicate increasing use of nerve transfers for traumatic radial, median, and ulnar nerve injuries. Hybrid strategies combining nerve and tendon transfers may also be useful in selected patients.[14,15] Sensory nerve transfers represent another emerging area, particularly for restoration of protective sensation in patients with otherwise limited reconstructive options.[15]

These developments illustrate a broader change in upper-limb trauma: reconstruction is increasingly directed toward functional neural recovery rather than anatomical repair alone.

## **2.7. Three-Dimensional Imaging, Printing, and Patient-Specific Surgery**

Three-dimensional technology is one of the most visible emerging trends in upper-extremity trauma. CT datasets can be converted into three-dimensional anatomical models that permit surgeons to understand complex fracture geometry before entering the operating room.

Three-dimensional printing can be used for preoperative fracture visualization, patient-specific surgical guides, cutting and drilling guides, custom implants, reconstruction of complex bone defects, patient education, and customized splints and orthoses.

A systematic review of three-dimensional printing in upper-extremity surgery identified applications including intraoperative templates, implants, preoperative planning, prostheses, and orthoses.[16] Patient-specific implants are particularly attractive in complex malunions, nonunions, and substantial bone loss where standard implants may not adequately match the patient's anatomy.[17]

However, widespread clinical adoption remains limited by cost, production time, regulatory considerations, and the heterogeneous quality of available evidence. Therefore, three-dimensional technology should currently be regarded as a valuable adjunct rather than a replacement for conventional surgical planning.[16,17]

## **2.8. Early Mobilization and Rehabilitation**

One of the most important trends in upper-limb trauma is the movement away from prolonged immobilization toward early, controlled, and individualized rehabilitation.

Prolonged immobilization may result in joint stiffness, tendon adhesions, muscle atrophy, edema, and loss of function. Stable fixation therefore has value not simply because it maintains fracture alignment but because it may permit earlier safe movement.

Hand therapy should be integrated into treatment planning from the early postoperative period. Depending on the injury, rehabilitation may include edema control, protected active movement, passive mobilization, tendon-gliding exercises, strengthening, sensory re-education, and task-specific training.

A recent overview of rehabilitation evidence after upper-limb fractures found that early occupational and hand therapy during immobilization may improve grip strength and wrist range of motion, although the certainty of evidence remains low to very low.[18] This highlights an important contemporary principle: rehabilitation is essential, but protocols should remain individualized and evidence-based.

## **2.9. Pain Management and Opioid-Sparing Strategies**

Pain control is increasingly recognized as an integral component of trauma recovery. Multimodal analgesia can combine regional anesthesia, non-opioid systemic analgesics, and carefully selected rescue medication.

Regional nerve blocks may provide excellent perioperative analgesia, particularly for upper-limb surgery, while reducing reliance on systemic opioids. The AAOS distal-radius guideline specifically identifies opioid-sparing and multimodal pain strategies as considerations in fracture care.[9]

Pain management should also support early rehabilitation. Excessive pain can inhibit movement and participation in therapy, whereas excessive sedation or opioid exposure can delay recovery.

## **2.10. Artificial Intelligence and Digital Decision Support**

Artificial intelligence is an emerging area in trauma imaging and decision support. Machine-learning systems can potentially assist with fracture detection, classification, image segmentation, and automated measurement. AI-assisted analysis may be particularly useful in large-volume emergency settings where subtle fractures can be missed.

However, clinical implementation requires external validation, assessment across diverse populations, and integration into clinician-led decision-making. At present, AI should be considered an augmentation tool rather than an autonomous decision-maker.

Future systems may combine radiographs, CT data, electronic health records, and patient-specific characteristics to assist in treatment planning and prognostication. Such developments may eventually facilitate more individualized treatment algorithms.

## 2.11. Patient-Specific and Function-Oriented Treatment

The modern approach to upper-limb trauma increasingly recognizes that treatment success cannot be defined solely by radiographic union.

Important outcome measures include range of motion, grip and pinch strength, sensory recovery, pain, patient-reported disability, ability to perform occupational activities, return to sports, quality of life, and patient satisfaction.

This is particularly relevant for hand and upper-limb trauma because a minor residual deformity may be functionally insignificant in one patient but severely disabling in another.

Therefore, contemporary management should be patient-specific, injury-specific, and function-oriented.

**Table 1.** Contemporary Trends In Upper-Limb Trauma Management.

| Clinical area            | Traditional approach       | Current trend                                                          |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Imaging                  | Plain radiographs          | CT/3-D reconstruction when clinically indicated                        |
| Fracture fixation        | Conventional plates/screws | Locking plates, intramedullary fixation, minimally invasive techniques |
| Open trauma              | Sequential specialty care  | Coordinated orthoplastic management                                    |
| Complex proximal humerus | Hemiarthroplasty/fixation  | Selective reverse shoulder arthroplasty                                |
| Nerve injury             | Repair/grafting            | Nerve transfer $\pm$ tendon transfer                                   |
| Severe hand trauma       | Reconstruction in stages   | Early skeletal stabilization and soft-tissue coverage                  |
| Surgical planning        | 2-D imaging                | 3-D virtual planning and patient-specific guides                       |
| Immobilization           | Prolonged casting          | Stable fixation with early protected mobilization                      |
| Rehabilitation           | Delayed therapy            | Early individualized hand therapy                                      |
| Pain management          | Opioid-centered            | Multimodal/opioid-sparing strategies                                   |
| Outcome assessment       | Radiographic union         | Function, patient-reported outcomes and return to activity             |

### **3. FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The future of upper-limb trauma management is likely to be increasingly personalized. Three-dimensional imaging and patient-specific implants may become more accessible, while artificial intelligence may assist with fracture classification and treatment planning. Advances in biomaterials may provide improved implants and bone substitutes for segmental defects.

Regenerative approaches involving tissue engineering, biologic augmentation, and advanced scaffolds may become increasingly relevant for difficult nonunions and composite tissue injuries. Microsurgical techniques are also expected to continue evolving, particularly in nerve reconstruction and functional muscle transfer.

Another important direction is the development of integrated trauma pathways in which emergency physicians, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, vascular surgeons, radiologists, anaesthesiologists and rehabilitation professionals participate in coordinated care.

The ultimate objective should remain preservation of useful function rather than simply anatomical survival of the limb.

### **4. CONCLUSION**

Management of upper-limb trauma has evolved considerably from traditional fracture reduction and immobilization toward a multidisciplinary, biologically respectful, and function-oriented model. Contemporary care emphasizes early recognition of neurovascular and soft-tissue injuries, appropriate advanced imaging, stable fixation with minimal biological disruption, early soft-tissue reconstruction, and structured rehabilitation.

In complex injuries, the orthoplastic approach provides an integrated strategy for skeletal stabilization and soft-tissue coverage. Microsurgical replantation, free tissue transfer, and nerve transfers have expanded reconstructive possibilities, while reverse shoulder arthroplasty has become an important option for selected complex proximal humeral fractures. Three-dimensional planning and patient-specific implants represent promising technological advances, although their clinical evidence continues to develop.

Perhaps the most important change is conceptual: the goal of upper-limb trauma treatment is no longer simply fracture union or limb preservation, but restoration of a functional extremity that allows the patient to return to daily, occupational, and social activities. Future progress will depend on combining surgical innovation with high-quality evidence, patient-specific decision-making and early multidisciplinary rehabilitation.

### **References**

- [1] Karl JW, Olson PR, Rosenwasser MP. The epidemiology of upper extremity fractures in the United States, 2009. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2015;29(8):e242-e244. doi:10.1097/BOT.0000000000000312.
- [2] Stevens NM, Tejawani NC. Damage-control orthopedics or early total care: what you need to know. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2024;96(5):694-701. doi:10.1097/TA.00000000000004250.
- [3] Patil S, et al. Orthopedic trauma management: a comprehensive review of surgical interventions, rehabilitation, and patient outcomes. 2026. PMID:41918679.

- [4] Yu JL, Cordero DM, Miller EA. Principles of microvascular surgery in the upper extremity. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol*. 2024;34(7):3647-3659. doi:10.1007/s00590-023-03749-x.
- [5] Stahel PF, Kaufman AM. Contemporary management of open extremity fractures: what you need to know. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2024;97(1):11-22. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000004288.
- [6] Thomas B, et al. Modern concepts of interdisciplinary extremity reconstruction in open fractures. 2024. PMID:38739196.
- [7] Goldman AH, Tetsworth K. AAOS clinical practice guideline summary: prevention of surgical site infection after major extremity trauma. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2023;31(1):e1-e8. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-22-00792.
- [8] American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, American Society for Surgery of the Hand. Management of distal radius fractures: clinical practice guideline. Rosemont: AAOS; 2020.
- [9] Heo SM, Faulkner H, An VVG, Symes M, Nandapalan H, Sivakumar B. Outcomes following reverse total shoulder arthroplasty vs operative fixation for proximal humerus fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2024;106(7):562-568. doi:10.1308/rcsann.2022.0120.
- [10] Fahs A, Waldron J, Afsari A, Best B. Management of elbow terrible triad injuries: a comprehensive review and update. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2024;32(19):e982-e995. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-24-00310.
- [11] Konda SR, Ganta A, Solasz SJ. Surgical fixation of two-part proximal humerus fracture with a short proximal humeral nail. *AAOS Orthopaedic Video Theater*. 2024.
- [12] Chung KC, et al. Management of a mutilated hand: the current trends. *Hand Reconstr Microsurg*. 2021. PMID:34632847.
- [13] Duraku LS, Chaudhry T, George S, Madura T, Zuidam JM, Hundepool CA, et al. Motor nerve transfers for reconstruction of traumatic upper extremity nerve injuries: a scoping review. *JPRAS Open*. 2024;43:581-594. doi:10.1016/j.jpra.2024.01.005.
- [14] Orlando NA, Qiu CS, Rowley EK, Sabit A, Elhelali A, Tuffaha SH. Upper-extremity nerve transfers for sensation: a systematic review. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2024. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2024.03.020.
- [15] Stirling ERB, et al. Clinical applications of three-dimensional printing in upper extremity surgery: a systematic review. *J Hand Surg Glob Online*. 2023. PMID:36836528.
- [16] Rao SR, Pereira GF, Richard MJ. The use of patient-specific implants for the treatment of upper extremity fractures. *Hand Clin*. 2023;39(4):489-503. doi:10.1016/j.hcl.2023.05.002.

- [17] Van Lieshout EMM, et al. Personalized 3D-printed forearm braces as an alternative for a traditional plaster cast or splint: a systematic review. *Injury*. 2022;53 Suppl 3:S47-S52. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2022.07.020.
- [18] Overview of Cochrane systematic reviews for rehabilitation interventions in individuals with upper limb fractures: a mapping synthesis. 2024. PMID:38541195.
- [19] Bents EJ, Ardebol J, Noble M, Galasso L, Denard PJ, Menendez ME. Complications following reverse total shoulder arthroplasty for proximal humeral fractures: a systematic review. *JSES Rev Rep Tech*. 2025;5(1):60-69. doi:10.1016/j.xrrt.2024.08.007.
- [20] Buchanan TR, Reddy AR, Bindi VE, Hones KR, Holt KE, Wright TW, et al. The effect of tuberosity healing on functional outcomes after reverse shoulder arthroplasty for proximal humerus fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Orthop*. 2024;48(11):2993-3001. doi:10.1007/s00264-024-06310-5.
- [21] Kampkuiper N, Ten Heggeler R, Nellensteijn J, Brusse-Keizer M, Tuijthof G, Koenrades M, et al. Clinical added value of 3D printed patient-specific guides in orthopedic surgery: a systematic review. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2025;145(1):173. doi:10.1007/s00402-025-05775-2.