



## Preventing Zoonoses is Key to Sustainable Public Health in Developing Urban Cities

**Samuel Nsikan Akpan<sup>1,2,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Wageningen University & Research (WUR), the Netherlands.

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Genomics & Global Health (IGH), Redeemer's University, Ede, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Nairobi, Kenya.

\*Corresponding author: iykesamuel187@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

The unprecedented growth of urban populations in developing nations has brought significant changes in human-environmental interactions, reshaping the dynamics of disease emergence and transmission. Zoonoses have become increasingly prominent in these rapidly urbanizing landscapes due to environmental degradation, unregulated wildlife markets, poor sanitation, informal livestock rearing, and weak health infrastructure. This review explores the influence of urbanization on zoonotic outbreak occurrence, exploring integrative strategies for its prevention through a One Health lens. Drawing on insights from environmental health, urban planning, veterinary public health, and epidemiology, we highlight key interventions such as improved water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) infrastructure, regulation of urban wildlife trade, formalization of backyard livestock systems, and the expansion of community-based surveillance. It argues that operationalizing One Health in resource-limited cities through institutional coordination, risk-based policy reform, and participatory governance offers a feasible pathway toward resilience. Ultimately, preventing zoonoses must become a core pillar of sustainable urban public health, contributing not only to epidemic control but also to broader development goals such as food safety, environmental justice, and the attainment of the global sustainable development goals (SDGs), particularly goals 3, 6 and 11.

**Keywords:** Urban cities, WASH, Zoonotic outbreak, Human-environmental interactions, One Health.

(Received 26 September 2025; Accepted 19 October 2025; Date of Publication 14 November 2025)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The accelerating pace of urbanization, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), has precipitated profound transformations in human-environment interactions, with significant implications for public health (Zhang *et al.*, 2023; Sinha, 2024; Vazquez-Prokopec *et al.*, 2024). According to United Nations projections, nearly 68% of the global population will reside in urban areas by 2050, with the majority of this demographic shift occurring in Africa, Asia, and Latin America (United Nations, 2018). While urbanization is often associated with economic growth and improved access to services, it also generates a complex web of socio-ecological challenges that can undermine health systems, deepen environmental degradation, and facilitate the emergence of infectious diseases, particularly zoonoses (Blasdell *et al.*, 2022; Ellwanger *et al.*, 2022; Dorsey, 2025). In many developing urban centers, rapid population growth outpaces the development of critical infrastructure such as waste management, clean water supply, housing, and veterinary services, creating fertile conditions for pathogen emergence and transmission at the human-animal-environment interface (Hassell *et al.*, 2023; Singh *et al.*, 2024).

Zoonotic diseases, which are infections transmissible from animals to humans, constitute over 60% of emerging infectious diseases globally (Rahman *et al.*, 2020; Wikle, 2024). In urban settings, the risk of zoonotic transmission is amplified by several converging factors such as increased population density, unregulated wildlife trade, poor sanitation, food insecurity, and the informal integration of animal husbandry into residential spaces (Ahmed *et al.*, 2019; Esposito *et al.*, 2023). These dynamics are particularly evident in the rapidly growing informal settlements and peri-urban fringes of cities such as Lagos, Nairobi, Dhaka, and Jakarta, where limited state oversight and inadequate health infrastructure expose populations to heightened infectious disease risk (Satterthwaite *et al.*, 2019; Hambrecht *et al.*, 2022; Rahaman *et al.*, 2023). The convergence of human, animal, and environmental health challenges in these urban areas call for a rethinking of public health strategies that transcend traditional biomedical paradigms (Destoumieux-Garzón *et al.*, 2018; Kim *et al.*, 2022).

**Table 1.** Major zoonotic diseases in developing cities and their key characteristics.

Disease	Primary Reservoir	Transmission Route	Urban Risk Context	Key Strategies	Prevention
Rabies	Dogs	Bite/Saliva	Stray dog populations	Vaccination and population control of free-roaming dogs	
Leptospirosis	Rodents	Water/Soil contamination	Flood-prone slums	Rodent control and sanitation	
Lassa fever	<i>Mastomys</i> rodents	Aerosols/Droppings	Poor housing	Rodent-proof housing and hygiene	

Avian influenza	Poultry	Respiratory droplets	Live bird markets	Biosecurity and market regulation
COVID-19	Bats (indirect)	Respiratory droplets	High-density crowds	Vaccination, masks, distancing

The 2014-2016 Ebola virus epidemic, while originating in rural Guinea, rapidly spread to major West African cities, revealing how urbanization can facilitate the geographical and social spread of previously isolated pathogens (Keil *et al.*, 2023; Bhatia *et al.*, 2024). Similarly, the COVID-19 pandemic, suspected to have originated at the wildlife-human interface, demonstrated how the globalized and interconnected nature of modern urban life can accelerate disease dissemination across borders (Wilcox and Steele, 2020; Marie and Gordon, 2023). These experiences have reignited discussions around the need for integrative, anticipatory public health frameworks that can address the root causes of zoonotic spillovers in cities (Bhatia *et al.*, 2024).

In response to this multifaceted threat, the One Health approach has gained traction as a holistic strategy that recognizes the interdependence of human, animal, and environmental health (Danasekaran, 2024; Rodriguez, 2024). In urban contexts, operationalizing One Health involves coordinating surveillance systems, regulatory reforms, environmental planning, veterinary care, and community-based risk communication to build resilient public health systems (Mumford *et al.*, 2023; Ahmed *et al.*, 2025). Yet, despite increasing recognition of its relevance, the application of One Health in urban settings remains fragmented and underfunded, particularly in low and medium-income countries (Tiwari *et al.*, 2025).

Hence, drawing from empirical evidences and interdisciplinary perspectives in urban epidemiology, spatial planning, veterinary public health, and environmental science, this paper applies a One Health lens to examine the socio-ecological drivers of zoonoses in cities. Furthermore, it highlights practical interventions, policy innovations, and governance models that can enable urban centers to anticipate, mitigate, and respond effectively to zoonotic threats as an essential component of sustainable development.

## 2. THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT AND RISK OF ZOONOTIC SPILLOVERS

### Urbanization and the Changing Ecology of Diseases

The transformation of natural landscapes into densely inhabited urban settlements has profound implications for ecological integrity and public health, particularly through its influence on the transmission dynamics of zoonotic pathogens (Esposito *et al.*, 2023). Urban expansion, especially in low- and middle-income countries, is frequently accompanied by widespread deforestation, degradation of natural ecosystems, and the loss of biodiversity, all of which contribute to the fragmentation of wildlife habitats and the disruption of long-standing ecological relationships (Combs *et al.*, 2022; Rulli *et al.*, 2025). As formerly remote areas are converted into residential or commercial zones, human populations are brought into direct or indirect contact with a diverse range of wildlife species, many of which serve as reservoirs or vectors of zoonotic diseases (Marrana, 2022). Bats, rodents, and non-human primates are increasingly recognized as hosts for emerging zoonotic agents due to their ecological adaptability and proximity to human settlements (White and Razgour, 2020; Latif *et al.*, 2023).

## Urban Wildlife Trade

The consumption and trade of wild animals in urban centers represent a persistent and complex zoonotic threat, especially in regions where informal markets operate beyond the reach of sanitary oversight or veterinary regulation (Akpan *et al.*, 2025). In several African and Asian cities, bushmeat is openly sold in wet markets that lack adequate hygiene protocols and cold chain infrastructure, creating ideal conditions for pathogen survival and transmission (Peros *et al.*, 2021; van Vliet *et al.*, 2022). These environments bring humans, wild animals, and domestic species into unnaturally close contact, facilitating viral spillover and recombination events (Bhatia *et al.*, 2024). Research has linked such settings to outbreaks of zoonotic diseases including SARS, Ebola, and potentially COVID-19, all of which originated at the human-animal-environment interface under conditions of poor biosecurity (Lin *et al.*, 2021).

## 3. ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINANTS OF ZOOSES IN DEVELOPING CITIES

### Sanitation and Waste Management Deficits

Urban environmental health in developing countries is often compromised by inadequate sanitation, inefficient waste disposal, and open sewage systems (Tariq and Mushtaq, 2023). These conditions degrade water quality and promote infestations by rodents and stray animals, both of which are important reservoirs of zoonotic pathogens (Krystosik *et al.*, 2020). Compounding this problem is the limited capacity of governments to manage growing waste burdens. Improper management of animal waste from abattoirs and urban farms leads to environmental contamination with pathogens such as *Salmonella*, *E. coli*, and *Campylobacter* (Ekpunobi *et al.*, 2024). The loss of urban biodiversity, particularly the displacement of natural predators, has allowed synanthropic species like rats and pigeons to proliferate in human-dominated environments, increasing zoonotic risks (Ramadhan, 2024). Reduced green spaces further contribute to higher rodent densities, which have been correlated with increase in leptospirosis cases (Judson and Rabinowitz, 2021). Overbuilt urban landscapes also lack the ecological resilience needed to buffer against pathogen reservoirs, making them more vulnerable to disease emergence (Lederman *et al.*, 2021).

### Climate Change Impacts

Climate change-induced weather variability and poor drainage systems in cities contribute to recurrent urban flooding, which creates breeding grounds for zoonotic disease vectors such as mosquitoes and snails (Sonne, 2022). Diseases like Rift Valley fever, leptospirosis, and schistosomiasis are often increased in post-flood scenarios (Acosta-España *et al.*, 2024). Urban floodwaters, contaminated with faecal matter from animals and humans, serve as a medium for pathogen transmission across human communities (Paruch and Paruch, 2018). Climate-induced urban flooding also reduces ecosystem services by disrupting water purification and natural drainage systems, thereby facilitating the spread of waterborne zoonoses (Umar, 2024). However, urban ecological models emphasise that resilient cities must maintain or restore green and blue infrastructure, such as urban wetlands and vegetated corridors, to mitigate vector proliferation and pathogen dispersion (Bruno *et al.*, 2024).

#### 4. URBAN FOOD SYSTEMS

Food safety remains a critical concern in the urban meat value chain of developing cities, and many consumers rely on informal meat vendors due to affordability and access (Nyokabi *et al.*, 2023). However, these vendors frequently operate without veterinary inspection, refrigeration, or proper hygiene (Siddiky *et al.*, 2022). Contaminated meat and animal products are common sources of zoonotic foodborne illnesses such as salmonellosis, toxoplasmosis, and listeriosis (Ali and Alsaeq, 2022). In Nigeria, over 80% of meat consumed in urban centers such as Ibadan and Kano is processed through informal abattoirs lacking formal inspection systems (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2021). These facilities often operate with minimal oversight, and studies have reported contamination rates of up to 35% for *Salmonella* in retail meat samples.

#### WASH Infrastructure

Water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) services are fundamental to preventing zoonotic disease transmission in urban environments, particularly in overcrowded informal settlements where infrastructural deficits are most pronounced (Wolking *et al.*, 2020). In such settings, shared water points, communal toilets, and widespread open defecation create high-risk conditions for the spread of zoonotic enteric pathogens such as *Cryptosporidium*, *Giardia*, *Salmonella*, and *Escherichia coli* (Muyyarakandy *et al.*, 2024). These organisms are commonly transmitted via the fecal-oral route and thrive in environments where human-animal interactions are frequent and unregulated. As cities continue to grow, the intersection between WASH deficiencies and zoonotic disease transmission must be recognized not just as a public health concern but as a systemic urban development challenge demanding coordinated multisectoral solutions.

#### 5. VETERINARY PUBLIC HEALTH AND ZOONOTIC DISEASE CONTROL

##### Surveillance and Early Warning Systems

A critical gap in the prevention and control of zoonotic diseases in urban environments is the absence of integrated and responsive surveillance systems that link human, animal, and environmental health data (Sharan *et al.*, 2023). In many rapidly urbanizing cities, there is minimal routine monitoring of zoonotic pathogens in livestock, companion animals, peri-domestic wildlife, or vector populations (Gamble *et al.*, 2023). Surveillance efforts tend to be fragmented across sectors, underfunded, and reactive rather than proactive (Lee *et al.*, 2024). As a result, outbreaks of zoonotic diseases such as leptospirosis, brucellosis, or avian influenza are often identified only after widespread human transmission has occurred, limiting the window for timely containment and increasing the likelihood of public health emergencies (Gupta *et al.*, 2024). Emerging technologies can offer significant potential to enhance surveillance capacity in urban settings (Vogiatzaki *et al.*, 2020). Mobile health (mHealth) applications, for instance, can facilitate real-time disease reporting by frontline health workers, livestock owners, and community health volunteers (Takuwa *et al.*, 2023). Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and remote sensing technologies can map zoonotic hotspots, track animal movements, and monitor environmental changes that affect disease ecology (Zhang *et al.*, 2024). Digital dashboards and AI-driven analytics can further support decision-makers in forecasting outbreaks and targeting interventions efficiently. However, technical solutions alone are insufficient: effective surveillance depends on the existence of strong institutional infrastructure, cross-sectoral trust, trained personnel, and sustained political will (Thomas *et al.*, 2021).

## Urban Veterinary Services

Urban veterinary services are often unevenly distributed and poorly integrated into city health systems, leaving informal animal keepers in underserved neighborhoods with limited or no access to basic veterinary care (Roberts *et al.*, 2023). In many urban centers, veterinary infrastructure is concentrated in commercial zones or peri-urban agricultural corridors, primarily catering to industrial-scale or formally registered operations (Ng *et al.*, 2022). This structural exclusion disproportionately affects low-income households who rear poultry, goats, pigs, or dogs within densely populated informal settlements. A cross-sectional study in Nairobi found that only 22% of informal animal keepers had accessed veterinary services in the preceding year, citing cost, distance, and lack of awareness as the primary barriers (Mwangi *et al.*, 2020). Such inequities in service delivery not only compromise animal welfare but also undermine early detection of zoonotic pathogens and limit uptake of preventive interventions such as routine vaccination.

## 6. THE ONE HEALTH APPROACH TO SUSTAINABLE URBAN PUBLIC HEALTH

The complexity of zoonotic transmission in urban environments demands a multisectoral, collaborative framework such as the One Health approach (Ahmed *et al.*, 2025). By recognizing the interdependence of human, animal, and environmental health, One Health enables coordinated planning, data sharing, and joint intervention among health authorities, veterinary services, urban planners, and environmental managers (Erkyihun and Alemayehu, 2022).

A real-world example is seen in Kenya, where a city-level One Health platform was piloted in collaboration with the Kenya Zoonotic Disease Unit (ZDU), the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), and the Nairobi County Government (Mwatondo *et al.*, 2017). This initiative coordinated human and animal health surveillance, strengthened reporting mechanisms for rabies and brucellosis, and implemented community-based risk communication campaigns in informal settlements like Kibera. The Nairobi model demonstrated that even in the context of informal economies and fragmented infrastructure, urban One Health frameworks can be operationalized through strategic partnerships, grassroots engagement, and adaptive governance.

Institutionalizing One Health within resource-limited urban governance systems requires adaptive, decentralized, and multisectoral mechanisms that align with existing urban development structures (Gashu, 2024). Regional urban governments can create interdepartmental One Health task forces that include representatives from public health, veterinary services, urban planning, and environmental management (Li *et al.*, 2025). These units should be embedded within city councils or metropolitan health boards, with a mandate to assess zoonotic risks, coordinate surveillance, and implement cross-sector interventions. To address financial constraints, cities can leverage donor funding, public-private partnerships, and integrate zoonotic prevention into broader urban resilience projects funded under climate or health adaptation frameworks (Nguyen-Viet *et al.*, 2025). Building local capacity through training of community health volunteers and animal health workers ensures sustainability and community ownership (Sakeah *et al.*, 2021).

## **7. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Addressing zoonotic threats in developing urban cities requires a paradigm shift from reactive, siloed approaches to integrated, proactive governance models that reflect the complex interplay between health, infrastructure, livelihoods, and the environment. Urban policymakers must embed zoonotic disease prevention into the core of urban planning processes, ensuring that health risk assessments are conducted prior to housing expansion, waste zoning, wet market establishment, or road development (Murugesan, 2020, Blasdell *et al.*, 2022). This necessitates strong inter-ministerial coordination, especially between the ministries of health, agriculture, environment, and urban development, anchored in decentralized One Health governance platforms. While the institutionalization of One Health may appear ambitious in resource-constrained urban settings, pragmatic and context-specific mechanisms have proven feasible.

Urban health tends to be underfunded, with zoonoses receiving even less attention due to their “cross-cutting” nature, falling between sectors (Ahmed *et al.*, 2019). Additionally, community resistance to regulation, particularly in informal food systems or wildlife trade, is common when interventions are perceived as punitive or insensitive to livelihoods (Gore *et al.*, 2021). Addressing these barriers requires strategic investment in urban health systems and the co-creation of solutions with communities most affected by policy shifts. To ensure long-term effectiveness, monitoring and evaluation (M&E) frameworks tailored to urban zoonosis control should be developed (Singh *et al.*, 2024). These frameworks should incorporate indicators across health, environmental, and governance domains such as zoonotic outbreak frequency, vector presence in flood-prone areas, animal vaccination coverage, and public awareness levels (Wilcox and Steele, 2020). Tools like risk mapping, community scorecards, and One Health impact dashboards can facilitate real-time tracking and accountability (Katapally and Ibrahim, 2023). A participatory risk surveillance model used in slums of Dhaka, Bangladesh, combined geospatial data, informal reporting, and mobile health technologies to map hotspots of zoonotic risk and guide targeted interventions (Rahaman *et al.*, 2023). Replicating such models in urban cities of developing countries could significantly enhance urban preparedness.

Finally, the inclusion of zoonotic prevention within national and subnational development agendas is critical. Urban zoonoses must be explicitly recognized in strategies aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being), SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation), and SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities) (Vaidya and Chatterji, 2019). As the 21st century unfolds, urban resilience will increasingly be defined by the capacity of cities to anticipate, prevent, and respond to health threats at the human-animal-environment interface. Investing in zoonotic prevention today is a safeguard for sustainable urban futures (Kapucu *et al.*, 2021).

## References

- [1] Abu Hatab, A., Cavinato, M.E.R. and Lagerkvist, C.J., 2019. Urbanization, livestock systems and food security in developing countries: A systematic review of the literature. *Food Security*, 11(2), 279-299.
- [2] Acosta-España, J.D., Romero-Alvarez, D., Luna, C. and Rodriguez-Morales, A.J., 2024. Infectious disease outbreaks in the wake of natural flood disasters: Global patterns and local implications. *Le Infezioni in Medicina*, 32(4), 451.
- [3] Ahmed, M.M., Okesanya, O.J., Othman, Z.K., Ibrahim, A.M., Adigun, O.A., Ukoaka, B.M., Abdi, M.I. and Lucero-Prisno III, D.E., 2025. Holistic Approaches to Zoonoses: Integrating Public Health, Policy, and One Health in a Dynamic Global Context. *Zoonotic Diseases*, 5(1), 5.
- [4] Ahmed, S., Dávila, J.D., Allen, A., Haklay, M., Tacoli, C. and Fèvre, E.M., 2019. Does urbanization make emergence of zoonosis more likely? Evidence, myths and gaps. *Environment and Urbanization*, 31(2), 443-460.
- [5] Akpan, S.N., van Hooft, P., Happi, A.N., Buij, R., van Langevelde, F., Cook, E.A., Hassell, J.M., Zimmerman, D.M., Masudi, S.P., Happi, C.T. and Thomas, L.F., 2025. Structure, conservation and health implications of urban wild meat value chains: A case study of Lagos, Nigeria. *One Health*, 100992.
- [6] Ali, S. and Alsayeqh, A.F., 2022. Review of major meat-borne zoonotic bacterial pathogens. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 1045599.
- [7] Bhatia, B., Sonar, S., Khan, S. and Bhattacharya, J., 2024. Pandemic-Proofing: Intercepting Zoonotic Spillover Events. *Pathogens*, 13(12), 1067.
- [8] Blasdell, K.R., Morand, S., Laurance, S.G., Doggett, S.L., Hahs, A., Trinh, K., Perera, D. and Firth, C., 2022. Rats and the city: Implications of urbanization on zoonotic disease risk in Southeast Asia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(39), 2112341119.
- [9] Bruno, A., Arnoldi, I., Barzaghi, B., Boffi, M., Casiraghi, M., Colombo, B., Di Gennaro, P., Epis, S., Facciotti, F., Ferrari, N. and Fesce, E., 2024. The One Health approach in urban ecosystem rehabilitation: An evidence-based framework for designing sustainable cities. *Iscience*, 27(10), 1-12.
- [10] Chen, D., Mechlowitz, K., Li, X., Schaefer, N., Havelaar, A.H. and McKune, S.L., 2021. Benefits and risks of smallholder livestock production on child nutrition in low-and middle-income countries. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 8, 751686.
- [11] Combs, M.A., Kache, P.A., VanAcker, M.C., Gregory, N., Plimpton, L.D., Tufts, D.M., Fernandez, M.P. and Diuk-Wasser, M.A., 2022. Socio-ecological drivers of multiple zoonotic hazards in highly urbanized cities. *Global Change Biology*, 28(5), 1705-1724.
- [12] Danasekaran, R., 2024. One health: a holistic approach to tackling global health issues. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine*, 49(2), 260-263.

- [13] Davies, J., Blekking, J., Hannah, C., Zimmer, A., Joshi, N., Anderson, P., Chilenga, A. and Evans, T., 2022. Governance of traditional markets and rural-urban food systems in sub-Saharan Africa. *Habitat international*, 127, 102620.
- [14] Destoumieux-Garzón, D., Mavingui, P., Boetsch, G., Boissier, J., Darriet, F., Duboz, P., Fritsch, C., Giraudoux, P., Le Roux, F., Morand, S. and Paillard, C., 2018. The one health concept: 10 years old and a long road ahead. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 5, 14.
- [15] Dorsey, A.F., 2025. Urbanization and infectious disease. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 37(1), 24197.
- [16] Ekpunobi, N.F., Adesanoye, S., Orababa, O., Adinnu, C., Okorie, C. and Akinsuyi, S., 2024. Public health perspective of public abattoirs in Nigeria, challenges and solutions. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 26(2), 115-127.
- [17] Ellwanger, J.H., Byrne, L.B. and Chies, J.A.B., 2022. Examining the paradox of urban disease ecology by linking the perspectives of Urban One Health and Ecology with Cities. *Urban ecosystems*, 25(6), 1735-1744.
- [18] Erkyihun, G.A. and Alemayehu, M.B., 2022. One Health approach for the control of zoonotic diseases. *Zoonoses*, 2(1), 963.
- [19] Esposito, M.M., Turku, S., Lehrfield, L. and Shoman, A., 2023. The impact of human activities on zoonotic infection transmissions. *Animals*, 13(10), 1646.
- [20] Gamble, A., Olarte-Castillo, X.A. and Whittaker, G.R., 2023. Backyard zoonoses: The roles of companion animals and peri-domestic wildlife. *Science Translational Medicine*, 15(718), eadj0037.
- [21] Gashu, K.D., 2024. The Digital Ecosystem and Major Public Health Informatics Initiatives in Resource-Limited Settings. In *Public Health Informatics: Implementation and Governance in Resource-Limited Settings*. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, pp. 97-140.
- [22] Gore, M.L., Escouflaire, L. and Wieland, M., 2021. Sanction avoidance and the illegal wildlife trade: A case study of an urban wild meat supply chain. *Journal of Illicit Economies and Development*, 3(1), 1-10.
- [23] Grace, D., Lindahl, J., Wanyoike, F., Bett, B., Randolph, T. and Rich, K.M., 2017. Poor livestock keepers: ecosystem-poverty-health interactions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 372(1725), 20160166.
- [24] Gupta, S., Kaur, R., Sohal, J.S., Singh, S.V., Das, K., Sharma, M.K., Singh, J., Sharma, S. and Dhama, K., 2024. Countering Zoonotic Diseases: Current Scenario and Advances in Diagnostics, Monitoring, Prophylaxis and Therapeutic Strategies. *Archives of Medical Research*, 55(6), 103037.
- [25] Hambrecht, E., Tolhurst, R. and Whittaker, L., 2022. Climate change and health in informal settlements: a narrative review of the health impacts of extreme weather events. *Environment and Urbanization*, 34(1), 122-150.

[26] Hassell, J.M., Muloi, D.M., VanderWaal, K.L., Ward, M.J., Bettridge, J., Gitahi, N., Ouko, T., Imboma, T., Akoko, J., Karani, M. and Muinde, P., 2023. Epidemiological connectivity between humans and animals across an urban landscape. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(29), 2218860120.

[27] Ibrahim, S., Kaltungo, B.Y., Uwale, H.B., Baba, A.Y., Saidu, S.N.A., Mohammed, F.U. and Dahiru, H.M., 2021. Role of slaughter facilities management in zoonoses and safety of meat produced for human consumption in Nigeria: a review. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, 45, 1-7.

[28] John, C.K. and Ajibade, F.O., 2024. Exploring the dynamics of WASH services: challenges, enablers, and strategies for improvement. *Discover Civil Engineering*, 1(1), 79.

[29] Judson, S.D. and Rabinowitz, P.M., 2021. Zoonoses and global epidemics. *Current opinion in infectious diseases*, 34(5), 385-392.

[30] Kapucu, N., Martín, Y. and Williamson, Z., 2021. Urban resilience for building a sustainable and safe environment. *Urban Governance*, 1(1), 10-16.

[31] Katapally, T.R. and Ibrahim, S.T., 2023. Digital health dashboards for decision-making to enable rapid responses during public health crises: replicable and scalable methodology. *JMIR Research Protocols*, 12(1), 46810.

[32] Keil, R., Ali, S.H. and Treffers, S., 2023. Extending the boundaries of 'urban society': The urban political ecologies and pathologies of Ebola virus disease in West Africa. In *Turning up the heat*. Manchester University Press, pp. 207-221.

[33] Kim, J., de Leeuw, E., Harris-Roxas, B. and Sainsbury, P., 2022. Four urban health paradigms: the search for coherence. *Cities*, 128, 103806.

[34] Krystosik, A., Njoroge, G., Odhiambo, L., Forsyth, J.E., Mutuku, F. and LaBeaud, A.D., 2020. Solid wastes provide breeding sites, burrows, and food for biological disease vectors, and urban zoonotic reservoirs: a call to action for solutions-based research. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 7, 405.

[35] Lane, J.K., Kelly, T., Bird, B., Chenais, E., Roug, A., Vidal, G., Gallardo, R., Zhou, H., VanHoy, G. and Smith, W., 2024. A One Health Approach to Reducing Livestock Disease Prevalence in Developing Countries: Advances, Challenges, and Prospects. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, 13, 1-10.

[36] Latif, M., Ashraf, H., Ahsan, M.F., Rehman, A., Sharif, N.T., Ahmad, M.N., Sannan, M.A., Saad, M. and Salman, M., 2023. Transmission dynamics of zoonotic diseases from forest to cities. *Zoonosis, Unique Scientific Publishers, Faisalabad, Pakistan*, 1, 327-337.

[37] Lederman, Z., Magalhães-Sant'Ana, M. and Voo, T.C., 2021. Stamping out animal culling: From anthropocentrism to one health ethics. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 34(5), 27.

[38] Lee, A.C., Iversen, B.G., Lynes, S., Desenclos, J.C., Bezuidenhoudt, J.E., Flodgren, G.M. and Pyone, T., 2024. The state of integrated disease surveillance globally: synthesis report of a mixed methods study. *Public Health*, 228, 85-91.

[39] Li, H., Chen, Y., Machalaba, C.C., Tang, H., Chmura, A.A., Fielder, M.D. and Daszak, P., 2021. Wild animal and zoonotic disease risk management and regulation in China: Examining gaps and One Health opportunities in scope, mandates, and monitoring systems. *One Health*, 13, 100301.

[40] Li, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., Liu, J., Zhu, Z., Feng, X., Han, L. and Zhang, X., 2025. Strategy and mechanism of One Health governance: case study of China. *Science in One Health*, 4, 100098.

[41] Lin, B., Dietrich, M.L., Senior, R.A. and Wilcove, D.S., 2021. A better classification of wet markets is key to safeguarding human health and biodiversity. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 5(6), 386-394.

[42] Ma, J., Guo, Y., Gao, J., Tang, H., Xu, K., Liu, Q. and Xu, L., 2022. Climate change drives the transmission and spread of vector-borne diseases: an ecological perspective. *Biology*, 11(11), 1628.

[43] Marie, V. and Gordon, M.L., 2023. The (re-) emergence and spread of viral zoonotic disease: A perfect storm of human ingenuity and stupidity. *Viruses*, 15(8), 1638.

[44] Marrana, M., 2022. Epidemiology of disease through the interactions between humans, domestic animals, and wildlife. In *One Health*, Academic Press, pp. 73-111.

[45] Mumford, E.L., Martinez, D.J., Tyance-Hassell, K., Cook, A., Hansen, G.R., Labonté, R., Mazet, J.A., Mumford, E.C., Rizzo, D.M., Togami, E. and Vreedzaam, A., 2023. Evolution and expansion of the One Health approach to promote sustainable and resilient health and well-being: A call to action. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 1056459.

[46] Murugesan, V., 2020. How can urban planners address emerging zoonoses? A scoping review of recommended interventions. *Urbana*, 21, 45.

[47] Muyyarkandy, M.S., Kniel, K., Bower, W.A., Vieira, A.R., Negrón, M.E. and Thakur, S., 2024. Assessment of critical gaps in prevention, control, and response to major bacterial, viral, and protozoal infectious diseases at the human, animal, and environmental interface. *Modernizing Global Health Security to Prevent, Detect, and Respond*, 175-195.

[48] Mwangi, V., Owuor, S., Kiteme, B. and Giger, M., 2020. Beef production in the rangelands: A comparative assessment between pastoralism and large-scale ranching in Laikipia county, Kenya. *Agriculture*, 10(9), 399.

[49] Mwatondo, A., Munyua, P., Gura, Z., Muturi, M., Osoro, E., Obonyo, M., Bitek, A., Oyas, H., Mbabu, M., Kioko, J. and Njenga, K., 2017. Catalysts for implementation of One Health in Kenya. *The Pan African Medical Journal*, 28(Suppl 1), 1-10.

[50] Ng, K.Y., Ho, C.L. and Koh, K., 2022. Spatial-temporal accessibility and inequality of veterinary Service in Hong Kong: a geographic information system-based study. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 9, 857914.

[51] Nguyen-Viet, H., Lâm, S., Alonso, S., Unger, F., Moodley, A., Bett, B., Fèvre, E., Knight-Jones, T., Mor, S.M., Nguyen, H.T.T. and Grace, D., 2025. Insights and future directions: Applying the One Health approach in international agricultural research for development to address food systems challenges. *One Health*, 101007.

[52] Nyokabi, N.S., Lindahl, J.F., Phelan, L.T., Berg, S., Gemechu, G., Mihret, A., Wood, J.L. and Moore, H.L., 2023. Exploring the composition and structure of milk and meat value chains, food safety risks and governance in the Addis Ababa and Oromia regions of Ethiopia. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7, 1085390.

[53] Ogunjinmi, A.A., Amusan, L., Oyeleke, O.O., Osunsina, I.O.O., Adewumi, A.A. and Oduntan, O.O., 2022. Significance of Bushmeat in Food Security and Income Sustainability. *Food Security and Food Sovereignty Challenges in Africa*, 97.

[54] Oliveira, M., Prithiviraj, B., Osuolale, O.O., Ugalde, J.A., Bhattacharyya, M., Dinis-Oliveira, R.J., Madureira-Carvalho, Á. and da Silva, D.D., 2025. Integrated environmental surveillance: the role of wastewater, air, and surface microbiomes in global health security. *Water Emerging Contaminants & Nanoplastics*, 4(2), 1-10.

[55] Paruch, L. and Paruch, A.M., 2018. Contributors to faecal water contamination in urban environments. *Water Management and the Environment: Case Studies*, 215-230.

[56] Peros, C.S., Dasgupta, R., Kumar, P. and Johnson, B.A., 2021. Bushmeat, wet markets, and the risks of pandemics: Exploring the nexus through systematic review of scientific disclosures. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 124, 1-11.

[57] Rahaman, M.A., Kalam, A. and Al-Mamun, M., 2023. Unplanned urbanization and health risks of Dhaka City in Bangladesh: uncovering the associations between urban environment and public health. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1269362.

[58] Rahman, M.T., Sobur, M.A., Islam, M.S., Ievy, S., Hossain, M.J., El Zowalaty, M.E., Rahman, A.T. and Ashour, H.M., 2020. Zoonotic diseases: etiology, impact, and control. *Microorganisms*, 8(9), 1405.

[59] Ramadhan, A.L., 2024. Understanding Human-Wildlife Interactions in Urban Environments: Implications for Conflicts, Disease Transmission, and Conservation. *Law and Economics*, 18(2), 99-109.

[60] Roberts, C., Woodsworth, J., Carlson, K., Reeves, T. and Epp, T., 2023. Defining the term “underserved:” A scoping review towards a standardized description of inadequate access to veterinary services. *The Canadian Veterinary Journal*, 64(10), 941-950.

[61] Rodriguez, J., 2024. One Health Ethics and the Ethics of Zoonoses: A Silent Call for Global Action. *Veterinary Sciences*, 11(9), 394.

[62] Rulli, M.C., D'Odorico, P., Galli, N., John, R.S., Muylaert, R.L., Santini, M. and Hayman, D.T., 2025. Land use change and infectious disease emergence. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 63(2), 2022RG000785.

[63] Rush, E.R., Dale, E. and Aguirre, A.A., 2021. Illegal wildlife trade and emerging infectious diseases: Pervasive impacts to species, ecosystems and human health. *Animals*, 11(6), 1821.

[64] Sakeah, E., Aborigo, R.A., Debpuur, C., Nonterah, E.A., Oduro, A.R. and Awoonor-Williams, J.K., 2021. Assessing selection procedures and roles of community health volunteers and community health management committees in Ghana's community-based health planning and services program. *PloS One*, 16(5), 0249332.

[65] Satterthwaite, D., Sverdlik, A. and Brown, D., 2019. Revealing and responding to multiple health risks in informal settlements in sub-Saharan African cities. *Journal of Urban Health*, 96, 112-122.

[66] Sharan, M., Vijay, D., Yadav, J.P., Bedi, J.S. and Dhaka, P., 2023. Surveillance and response strategies for zoonotic diseases: a comprehensive review. *Science in One Health*, 2 .100050.

[67] Siddiky, N.A., Khan, M.S.R., Sarker, M.S., Bhuiyan, M.K.J., Mahmud, A., Rahman, M.T., Ahmed, M.M. and Samad, M.A., 2022. Knowledge, attitude and practice of chicken vendors on food safety and foodborne pathogens at wet markets in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Food Control*, 131, 108456.

[68] Singh, S., Sharma, P., Pal, N., Sarma, D.K., Tiwari, R. and Kumar, M., 2024. Holistic one health surveillance framework: synergizing environmental, animal, and human determinants for enhanced infectious disease management. *ACS Infectious Diseases*, 10(3), 808-826.

[69] Sinha, B.R.K., 2024. Introduction: a broad perspective on the concepts of urban dynamics, environment, and health. In *Urban Dynamics, Environment and Health: An International Perspective*, Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, pp. 3-79.

[70] Sonne, M., 2022. A review on potential influence of climate change on vector born and zoonotic diseases: prevalence and recommended action for earlier disease detection in humans and animal. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 9, 684-708.

[71] Takuwa, M., Mbabazi, S.E., Tusabe, M., Mulindwa, B., Makobore, P.N., Mulerwa, M., Kansiime, E.C., Birungi, D.M., Reboud, J., Cooper, J.M. and Ssekitoleko, R.T., 2023. Mobile health access and utilisation in uganda: knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of health and veterinary workers. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 29(6), 912-920.

[72] Tariq, A. & Mushtaq, A., 2023. Untreated wastewater reasons and causes: A review of most affected areas and cities. *International Journal of Chemical and Biochemical Science*, 23(1), 121-143.

[73] Thomas, L.F., Rushton, J., Bukachi, S.A., Falzon, L.C., Howland, O. and Fèvre, E.M., 2021. Cross-sectoral zoonotic disease surveillance in Western Kenya: identifying drivers and barriers within a resource constrained setting. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8, 658454.

[74] Tiwari, H.K., Tan, D.K., Chinda, C., My, D.N.T., Hoang, H.T.T., Keonam, K., Huong, L.Q., Chanvatanak, L., Virak, M., Tram, N.T. and Soulinthone, N., 2025. Challenges and opportunities for AMR research in the ASEAN following the One Health approach. *One Health*, 101001.

[75] Umar, F., 2024. Climate Change, Urbanization, and Zoonotic Diseases: A Narrative Review for Public Health Resilience. *Jurnal Riset Kualitatif dan Promosi Kesehatan*, 3(1), 1-12.

[76] United Nations, 2018. The 2018 Revision of World Urbanization Prospects. Available at: <https://www.un.org/ar/desa/68-world-population-projected-live-urban-areas-2050-says/> Accessed 17 June 2025.

[77] Vaidya, H. and Chatterji, T., 2019. SDG 11 sustainable cities and communities: SDG 11 and the new urban agenda: Global sustainability frameworks for local action. In *Actioning the global goals for local impact: Towards sustainability science, policy, education and practice*. Singapore: Springer Singapore, pp. 173-185.

[78] van Vliet, N., Muhindo, J., Nyumu, J., Enns, C., Massé, F., Bersaglio, B., Cerutti, P. and Nasi, R., 2022. Understanding factors that shape exposure to zoonotic and food-borne diseases across wild meat trade chains. *Human Ecology*, 50(6), 983-995.

[79] Vazquez-Prokopec, G.M., Binkley, L.E., Dantes, H.G., Berrian, A.M., Soldan, V.A.P., Manrique-Saide, P.C. and Gillespie, T.R., 2024. Urbanization, human societies, and pandemic preparedness and mitigation. In *Modernizing Global Health Security to Prevent, Detect, and Respond*, Academic Press, pp. 197-213.

[80] Vogiatzaki, M., Zerefos, S. and Hoque Tania, M., 2020. Enhancing city sustainability through smart technologies: A framework for automatic pre-emptive action to promote safety and security using lighting and ICT-based surveillance. *Sustainability*, 12(15), 6142.

[81] White, R.J. & Razgour, O., 2020. Emerging zoonotic diseases originating in mammals: a systematic review of effects of anthropogenic land-use change. *Mammal review*, 50(4), 336-352.

[82] Wikel, S.K., 2024. Zoonoses: Changing, challenging, and increasing global disease threats. *Zoonotic Diseases*, 4(1), 8-10.

[83] Wilcox, B.A. and Steele, J.A., 2020. One health and emerging zoonotic diseases: Framework, integration and challenges. *Handbook of Global Health*, 1-49.

[84] Wolking, D., Karmacharya, D., Bista, M., Shrestha, R., Pandit, P., Sharma, A., Manandhar, S., Shrestha, B., Bajracharya, S., Bhatta, T. and Dulal, S., 2020. Vulnerabilities for exposure to emerging infectious disease at urban settlements in Nepal. *EcoHealth*, 17, 345-358.

[85] Zhang, L., Guo, W. and Lv, C., 2024. Modern technologies and solutions to enhance surveillance and response systems for emerging zoonotic diseases. *Science in One Health*, 3, 100061.

[86] Zhang, Z., Zhao, M., Zhang, Y. and Feng, Y., 2023. How does urbanization affect public health? New evidence from 175 countries worldwide. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 1096964.

[87] Zhou, C., Wang, S., Wang, C., Qiang, N., Xiu, L., Hu, Q., Wu, W., Zhang, X., Han, L., Feng, X. and Zhu, Z., 2024. Integrated surveillance and early warning system of emerging infectious diseases in china at community level: current status, gaps and perspectives. *Science in One Health*, 100102.