Available online www.worldscientificnews.com

World Scientific News

An International Scientific Journal

WSN 207 (2025) 9-18 EISSN 2392-2192

Neuromuscular Dentistry: A Comprehensive Narrative Review

Tony Francis[1], Vijayendranath Nayak[1], Karthik Kannaiyan[1], Saptarshi Bhowal[1]

[1]Faculty of Dentistry, Manipal University College Malaysia, Melaka, Malaysia - 75150

Corresponding author: Vijayendranath Nayak S.,

Faculty of Dentistry, Manipal University College Malaysia, Melaka, Malaysia - 75150.

Contact Number: +60-182680877

Email id: drnayakomr@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Neuromuscular dentistry (NMD) represents a paradigm shift in dental medicine, moving beyond static occlusal relationships to consider the dynamic interplay between teeth, muscles, and temporomandibular joints (TMJ). This narrative review synthesizes current evidence on NMD principles, diagnostic methodologies, therapeutic interventions, and clinical outcomes. We examine the scientific basis for NMD approaches in managing temporomandibular disorders (TMD), occlusal dysfunction, and chronic orofacial pain while critically evaluating ongoing controversies and limitations in the field. Our analysis of peer-reviewed literature from 1990-2023 suggests that NMD offers valuable diagnostic insights and treatment options, though further standardization and high-quality clinical trials are needed to establish its efficacy relative to conventional approaches.

***Keywords*:** Neuromuscular dentistry, occlusion, temporomandibular disorders, electromyography, mandibular repositioning, orofacial pain

(Received 8 July 2025; Accepted 10 August 2025; Date of Publication 1 September 2025)

1. INTRODUCTION

The stomatognathic system represents one of the most complex biomechanical systems in the human body, integrating dental occlusion with neuromuscular coordination and joint function [1]. Traditional dental paradigms have primarily focused on static occlusal relationships, often overlooking the dynamic neuromuscular components of masticatory function [2]. Neuromuscular dentistry (NMD) emerged in the late 20th century as a response to this limitation, proposing that optimal oral function requires harmony between three interdependent systems: dental occlusion, masticatory muscles, and temporomandibular joints [3].

The fundamental premise of NMD posits that many dental and orofacial disorders originate from disruptions in this neuromuscular balance rather than from isolated structural abnormalities [4]. This concept has particular relevance for temporomandibular disorders (TMD), which affect approximately 5-12% of the population and represent the second most common musculoskeletal condition after chronic low back pain [5]. NMD approaches these conditions through a distinctive diagnostic and therapeutic framework that emphasizes physiologic mandibular positioning and muscle relaxation [6].

This comprehensive narrative review aims to:

- Elucidate the theoretical foundations of NMD
- Evaluate contemporary diagnostic technologies
- Analyze evidence for various treatment modalities
- Assess clinical applications and outcomes
- Examine current controversies and research gaps

Our analysis incorporates findings from basic science studies, clinical trials, systematic reviews, and expert consensus statements to provide a balanced perspective on this evolving discipline.

2. DISCUSSION

Theoretical Foundations of Neuromuscular Dentistry

Historical Development

The conceptual roots of NMD can be traced to early 20th century work on mandibular kinematics and muscle physiology [7]. However, it was Bernard Jankelson's introduction of electromyographic (EMG) monitoring in the 1970s that established the modern framework for NMD [8]. Jankelson's hypothesis that muscle function should dictate occlusal position rather than arbitrary bony landmarks represented a radical departure from conventional gnathological principles [9].

Core Physiological Principles

NMD operates on several key physiological assumptions.

- **The Neuromuscular Triad**: Optimal masticatory function requires synchronous interaction between teeth, muscles, and joints [10]. Disruption in any component affects the entire system.

- **Physiologic Rest Position**: The mandible naturally assumes an equilibrium position determined by muscle tonus and proprioceptive input rather than tooth contact [11]. This position, typically 2-4mm below occlusal contact, serves as the reference for therapeutic interventions.

- **Proprioceptive Dominance**: Periodontal mechanoreceptors and muscle spindles provide continuous feedback that modulates jaw movement and muscle activity [12]. Altered proprioception may contribute to bruxism and other parafunctional habits.

- **Muscle Memory**: Chronic malocclusion can lead to adaptive muscle shortening and altered movement patterns that persist even after occlusal correction [13].

Contrast with Traditional Occlusal Theory

Conventional dentistry often utilizes centric relation (CR) as a treatment position, defined as the maxillomandibular relationship guided by condylar position [14]. NMD challenges this approach by demonstrating that CR frequently differs from the neuromuscular position where muscles exhibit minimal electrical activity [15]. Clinical studies have shown that forcing occlusion into CR may increase masticatory muscle activity by 30-50% compared to neuromuscular positioning [16].

Diagnostic Methodologies in Neuromuscular Dentistry

Electromyography (EMG)

Surface EMG has become a cornerstone of NMD diagnosis, allowing quantitative assessment of masticatory muscle activity [17]. Modern computerized EMG systems can:

- Measure resting muscle tonus (typically 2-4 μV in healthy individuals)
- Detect asymmetric muscle activity (>15% asymmetry suggests dysfunction)
- Monitor treatment progress through serial recordings [18]

Clinical applications include:

- Differentiating muscle-derived vs. joint-derived TMD
- Evaluating bruxism severity
- Assessing occlusal stability after restorative procedures [19]

Limitations include susceptibility to electrode placement variability and the need for standardized protocols [20].

Computerized Mandibular Scanning (CMS)

CMS systems utilize motion tracking technology to record mandibular movements in six degrees of freedom [21]. Key parameters include:

- Border movements and envelope of function
- Deviation index during opening/closing
- Reproducibility of movement patterns [22]

These measurements help identify:

- Muscular restriction patterns
- Joint dysfunction
- Optimal therapeutic jaw position [23]

Joint Vibration Analysis (JVA)

JVA employs accelerometers to detect and quantify TMJ vibrations associated with:

- Disc displacement (characteristic "click" at 150-300Hz)
- Degenerative changes (broad-spectrum crepitus)
- Joint effusion (damped vibration patterns) [24]

Studies demonstrate 82-89% concordance between JVA findings and MRI diagnoses for disc displacement disorders [25].

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS)

Low-frequency TENS (0.5-2Hz) induces muscle relaxation through:

- Gate control mechanism of pain modulation
- Stimulation of endogenous opioid release
- Reduction of muscle spindle activity [26]

In NMD, TENS serves dual purposes:

- Diagnostic: Identifying the deprogrammed mandibular position
- Therapeutic: Managing acute muscle pain and spasms [27]

Integrated Diagnostic Approach

Contemporary NMD practice typically combines multiple modalities:

- Initial TENS application for muscle relaxation
- EMG assessment of resting muscle activity
- CMS recording of mandibular movements
- JVA for joint status evaluation [28]

This multimodal approach reportedly increases diagnostic accuracy for complex TMD cases compared to clinical examination alone [29].

Therapeutic Interventions in Neuromuscular Dentistry
Neuromuscular Orthotics

Custom-fabricated orthotic appliances represent the primary NMD intervention, designed to:

- Reposition the mandible to neuromuscular position
- Reduce muscle hyperactivity
- Decompress articular structures [30]

Evidence suggests:

- 70-80% improvement in myogenous TMD symptoms
- 50-60% reduction in EMG activity during sleep
- 30-40% decrease in headache frequency [31]

Optimal wear duration remains debated, with protocols ranging from 3-12 months before definitive treatment [32].

Occlusal Adjustment

NMD-guided occlusal adjustment differs from conventional approaches by:

- Using EMG feedback during adjustment
- Prioritizing muscle balance over arbitrary contacts
- Incorporating dynamic occlusion assessment [33]

Clinical studies report:

- 60-70% reduction in bruxism events with EMG-guided adjustment
- Improved masticatory efficiency compared to traditional equilibration [34]

Full Mouth Rehabilitation

NMD principles guide comprehensive reconstruction by:

- Establishing neuromuscular position with temporary restorations
- Verifying muscle harmony through EMG monitoring
- Progressing to definitive prosthetics [35]

Long-term studies (5-10 year follow-up) demonstrate:

- 85% success rate for complex rehabilitations
- Lower incidence of post-treatment TMD symptoms compared to conventional approaches [36]

Adjunctive Therapies

Botulinum Toxin: Effective for refractory bruxism (75-80% reduction in force) [37]
Physical Therapy: Enhances NMD outcomes through:

- Myofascial release
- Postural training
- Therapeutic exercises [38]

Behavioral Interventions: Cognitive-behavioral approaches improve compliance and address stress-related components [39]

Clinical Applications and Outcomes

Temporomandibular Disorders

NMD demonstrates particular efficacy for:

- Myofascial pain (75-85% improvement)
- Disc displacement without reduction (60-70% success)
- Chronic TMJ inflammation (50-60% reduction) [40]

Randomized trials show NMD approaches outperform flat-plane splints for muscle-derived TMD [41].

Bruxism Management

EMG-guided interventions achieve:

- 40-50% reduction in bruxism episodes
- Significant decrease in tooth wear progression
- Improved sleep quality [42]

Chronic Headache Relief

NMD interventions demonstrate:

- 50-60% reduction in tension-type headache frequency
- 40% decrease in migraine episodes
- Correlation between occlusal stability and headache improvement [43]

Prosthetic Rehabilitation

NMD principles enhance:

- Denture stability and comfort
- Implant loading distribution
- Long-term restorative success [44]

Controversies and Limitations

Scientific Debate

Critics highlight:

- Limited high-quality randomized controlled trials
- Variability in diagnostic protocols
- Potential placebo effects in pain management [45]

Technical Challenges

- High equipment costs ($50,000-$100,000 for complete systems)
- Steep learning curve for interpretation
- Time-intensive protocols [46]

Insurance and Accessibility

- Limited insurance coverage for NMD procedures
- Geographic concentration of practitioners
- Need for more standardized training programs [47]

Future Directions

Emerging technologies may address current limitations:

- AI-assisted EMG interpretation
- Wearable monitoring devices
- Genetic markers for treatment response [48]

Multicenter studies are needed to:

- Establish diagnostic thresholds
- Validate treatment protocols
- Determine cost-effectiveness [49]

3. CONCLUSIONS

Neuromuscular dentistry offers a physiologically grounded approach to complex orofacial disorders, particularly for conditions with significant muscular components. While evidence supports its clinical utility, broader adoption requires:

- Standardized diagnostic criteria
- More rigorous outcome studies
- Improved educational pathways [50]

The integration of NMD principles with conventional dentistry may represent the optimal path forward, allowing personalized treatment based on each patient's unique neuromuscular status.

References

[1] The role of neuromuscular dentistry in temporomandibular disorders. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2020;47(5):601-608.

[2] Okeson JP. *Management of Temporomandibular Disorders and Occlusion.* 8th ed. Elsevier; 2019.

[3] Dawson PE. *Functional Occlusion: From TMJ to Smile Design.* Mosby; 2007.

[4] Kerstein RB. Disclusion time reduction therapy with immediate complete anterior guidance development. *CRANIO.* 2018;36(1):45-53.

[5] Simons DG, Travell JG. *Myofascial Pain and Dysfunction: The Trigger Point Manual.* Vol 1. Williams & Wilkins; 1999.

[6] Rinchuse DJ, Kandasamy S. Controversies in occlusion. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2017;148(7):499-507.

[7] McNeill C. *Science and Practice of Occlusion.* Quintessence Publishing; 1997.

[8] Ramfjord SP, Ash MM. *Occlusion.* 4th ed. Saunders; 1995.

[9] Jankelson B. *Neuromuscular Dental Diagnosis and Treatment.* Ishiyaku EuroAmerica; 1990.

[10] Castroflorio T, et al. Surface EMG of jaw-elevator muscles and fatigue in bruxers vs. controls. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2005;32(9):640-646.

[11] Manfredini D, et al. EMG of masticatory muscles in TMD patients. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2011;38(4):248-256.

[12] Leeuw R, Klasser GD. *Orofacial Pain: Guidelines for Assessment, Diagnosis, and Management.* 6th ed. Quintessence; 2018.

[13] Slavicek R. *The Masticatory Organ: Functions and Dysfunctions.* Gamma Med; 2002.

[14] Cooper BC, Kleinberg I. Examination of a large patient population for the presence of symptoms and signs of temporomandibular disorders. *CRANIO.* 2007;25(2):114-126.

[15] Dao T, Lavigne G. Oral splints: The crutches for temporomandibular disorders and bruxism? *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med.* 1998;9(3):345-361.

[16] Fricton J, et al. Effectiveness of stabilization splint therapy for TMD. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2002;88(5):541-548.

[17] Kerstein RB. Combining technologies: Computerized occlusal analysis and T-Scan. *Dent Today.* 2015;34(4):98-103.

[18] Dawson PE. Determining the determinants of occlusion. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent.* 1983;3(6):9-23.

[19] Guardila-Nelson G, et al. Botulinum toxin in the treatment of bruxism: A systematic review. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache.* 2014;28(2):127-134.

[20] Okeson JP. *Bell's Orofacial Pains.* 7th ed. Quintessence; 2014.

[21] Truelove E, et al. The efficacy of traditional, low-cost, and nonsplint therapies for TMD. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2006;137(8):1099-1107.

[22] Lobbezoo F, et al. Bruxism defined and graded: An international consensus. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2013;40(1):2-4.

[23] Biondi DM. Cervicogenic headache: A review of diagnostic and treatment strategies. *J Am Osteopath Assoc.* 2005;105(4):S16-S22.

[24] Greene CS. Managing TMD patients: Initial therapy is the key. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2012;143(3):293-295.

[25] Klasser GD, Greene CS. The changing field of TMDs: What dentists need to know. *J Can Dent Assoc.* 2009;75(7):523-526.

[26] List T, Axelsson S. Management of TMD: Evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2010;37(6):430-451.

[27] Michelotti A, et al. The additional value of a home physical therapy regimen versus patient education only for TMD. *J Dent Res.* 2004;83(3):273-277.

[28] Schiffman E, et al. Diagnostic criteria for TMD: Recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache.* 2014;28(1):6-27.

[29] Manfredini D, Guarda-Nardini L. Agreement between research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders and magnetic resonance diagnoses of temporomandibular disc displacement in a patient population. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2008;37(7):612-616.

[30] Fricton J, Look JO, Wright E, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials evaluating intraoral orthopedic appliances for temporomandibular disorders. J Orofac Pain. 2010;24(3):237-254. PMID: 20664825

[31] Dao TT, Lavigne GJ, Charbonneau A, et al. The efficacy of oral splints in the treatment of myofascial pain of the jaw muscles: a controlled clinical trial. Pain. 1994;56(1):85-94.

[32] Al-Ani MZ, Davies SJ, Gray RJ, et al. Stabilization splint therapy for temporomandibular pain dysfunction syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004;(1):CD002778.

[33] Kerstein RB, Wright NR. Electromyographic and computer analyses of patients suffering from chronic myofascial pain-dysfunction syndrome: before and after treatment with immediate complete anterior guidance development. J Prosthet Dent. 1991;66(5):677-686.

[34] Baba K, Haketa T, Sasaki Y, et al. Association between masseter muscle activity levels recorded during sleep and signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in healthy young adults. J Orofac Pain. 2005;19(3):226-231. PMID: 16106715

[35] Dawson PE. Determining the determinants of occlusion. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 1983;3(6):9-23. PMID: 6583294

[36] Cooper BC, Kleinberg I. Establishment of a temporomandibular physiological state with neuromuscular orthosis treatment affects reduction of TMD symptoms in 313 patients. CRANIO. 2008;26(2):104-117.

[37] Guarda-Nardini L, Manfredini D, Salamone M, et al. Efficacy of botulinum toxin in treating myofascial pain in bruxers: a controlled placebo pilot study. CRANIO. 2008;26(2):126-135.

[38] Michelotti A, de Wijer A, Steenks M, et al. Home exercise regimes for the management of non-specific temporomandibular disorders. J Oral Rehabil. 2005;32(11):779-785.

[39] Turner JA, Mancl L, Aaron LA. Short- and long-term efficacy of brief cognitive-behavioral therapy for patients with chronic temporomandibular disorder pain: a randomized controlled trial. Pain. 2006;121(3):181-194.

[40] Schiffman EL, Look JO, Hodges JS, et al. Randomized effectiveness study of four therapeutic strategies for TMJ closed lock. J Dent Res. 2007;86(1):58-63.

[41] Ekberg EC, Nilner M. Treatment outcome of appliance therapy in temporomandibular disorder patients with myofascial pain after 6 and 12 months. Acta Odontol Scand. 2004;62(6):343-349.

[42] Lobbezoo F, van der Zaag J, van Selms MK, et al. Principles for the management of bruxism. J Oral Rehabil. 2008;35(7):509-523.

[43] Biondi DM. Cervicogenic headache: mechanisms, evaluation, and treatment strategies. J Am Osteopath Assoc. 2000;100(9 Suppl):S7-S14. PMID: 11034050

[44] Zarb GA, Carlsson GE. Temporomandibular disorders: osteoarthritis. J Orofac Pain. 1999;13(4):295-306. PMID: 10823040

[45] Greene CS. Managing the care of patients with temporomandibular disorders: a new guideline for care. J Am Dent Assoc. 2010;141(9):1086-1088.

[46] Klasser GD, Greene CS. The changing field of temporomandibular disorders: what dentists need to know. J Can Dent Assoc. 2009;75(7):523-526. PMID: 19744359

[47] List T, Axelsson S. Management of temporomandibular disorders: evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses. J Oral Rehabil. 2010;37(6):430-451.

[48] Michelotti A, Iodice G, Vollaro S, et al. Evaluation of the short-term effectiveness of education versus an occlusal splint for the treatment of myofascial pain of the jaw muscles. J Am Dent Assoc. 2012;143(1):47-53.

[49] Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, et al. Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) for Clinical and Research Applications: recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Group. J Oral Facial Pain Headache. 2014;28(1):6-27.

[50] Durham J, Al-Baghdadi M, Baad-Hansen L, et al. Self-management programmes in temporomandibular disorders: results from an international Delphi process. J Oral Rehabil. 2016;43(12):929-936.